IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.10895 OF 2004

Sushilkumar Shrikrishna Shetye ..Petitioner.

Vs.

Sou.Swati Prasad Shetye

alias Sou.Ratna S. Shetye ..Respondent.

....

Mr.A.A.Kumbhakoni with Mr.A.M.Kulkarni for the Petitioner.

CORAM : DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

20th July, 2005.

P.C. :

Mr.Kumbhakoni, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner fairly states that having regard to the fact that a decree for divorce was passed on 6th May, 2000 and the Respondent remarried thereafter on 20th November, 2001, no useful purpose would be served in pressing the Petition for setting aside the ex parte decree for divorce.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner states that a separate proceeding has been however taken out for setting aside the decree that has been passed on the application for maintenance under Sections 18, 20 and 25 of the Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act, 1966 (P.C. 67 of 1995). Since the aforesaid application being Application No.15 of 2002 is stated to be still pending before the Trial Court, no opinion is expressed in respect of the merits of the said application. In so far as this Petition is concerned, no further orders are necessary and the Petition is disposed of as not pressed.